City of Medina

Planning Commission

Case No. P25-02

Applicant: Stephen Berry

Subject Property: 999 Lafayette Road, Medina, Ohio

Final Decision and Conclusions of Fact

The Planning Commission (hereinafter the "Commission") of the City of Medina, Ohio (hereinafter the "City"), makes the following conclusions of fact in support of its final decision in the above-referenced case.

After the hearing of all evidence and arguments of the interested parties in this matter at a quasi-judicial administrative hearing held at a public meeting of the Commission on March 13, 2025 (hereinafter the "Commission Hearing"), and considering the standards set forth in the City of Medina Codified Ordinances (hereinafter the "Code"), the Commission granted Site Plan and Conditional Zoning Certificate approval for a convenience store, motor vehicle filling station, and drive through at 999 Lafayette Road in a C-3 (General Commercial) zoning district.

The Commission's conclusions of fact supporting its decision are:

- 1. The Subject Property is known as 999 Lafayette Road in the City of Medina, Ohio, with Medina County PID#: 028-19C-12-023, and is comprised of approximately 1.96 acres (hereinafter the "Property").
- 2. The Property is located in the "C-3 General Commercial" zoning district as described in the City of Medina Planning and Zoning Code and Zoning Districts Map, adopted pursuant to Chapter 1113 of the Code.
- 3. The Property is currently owned by Leonard and Sandra Shetler and contains two buildings, parking, one access point on Lake Road, and one access point on Lafayette Road.
- 4. Stephen Berry of Architectural Design, Inc. (hereinafter the "Applicant") requested development of the Property for a convenience store, motor vehicle filling station, and drive through.
- 5. The Applicant submitted a Site Plan application as required per Code Section 1109.01 for the demolition of the existing site and the construction of a building, two canopies, parking, access drives, site lighting, landscaping, and related items. The application was reviewed by the Commission.

- 6. The Applicant submitted a Conditional Zoning Certificate application as required per Code Section 1153.02 for a motor vehicle filling station and a restaurant with drive through. The application was reviewed by the Commission.
- 7. The Applicant submitted an area or size type variance to Code Sections 1145.10(e) and 1153.04(a)(15)(B.) to allow a wider driveway width and more driveways than permitted. The application would be reviewed by the City of Medina Board of Zoning Appeals (hereinafter the "Board").
- 8. The Commission was provided relevant information regarding the request including, but not limited to:
 - a. An existing conditions plan, site plan, landscaping plan, lighting plan, exterior building elevations, and a floor plan submitted by the Applicant.
 - b. A staff report submitted by Andrew Dutton, Community Development Director.
 - c. Comments submitted by Patrick Patton, City of Medina Engineer.
 - d. Photographs of traffic in the area submitted by William Deluca.
- 9. Code Chapter 1109, "Site Plan Review", includes Code Section 1109.02(c), "Review and Action by the Planning Commission". Code Section 1109.02(c) was reviewed by the Commission and states:

The Planning Commission's review and action shall be based on the following standards:

- 1. The site plan shows that a proper relationship does exist between thoroughfares, service roads, driveways and parking areas to encourage pedestrian and vehicular traffic safety.
- 2. All the development features including the principal buildings, open spaces, service roads, driveways and parking areas are so located and related as to minimize the possibility of any adverse effects upon adjacent development.
- 3. The site plan includes adequate provision for the screening of parking areas, service areas and active recreation areas from surrounding properties by landscaping and/or ornamental walls or fences. All trees planted shall be as found in specifications approved by the Shade Tree Commission.
- 4. Grading and surface drainage provisions are reviewed and approved by the City Engineer.
- 5. The design and construction standards of all private streets, driveways and parking areas are to be built following approval of plans by the City Engineer according to construction standards specified in the Codified Ordinances.
- 6. Maximum possible privacy for multi-family dwellings and surrounding residential properties shall be provided through good design and use of proper building materials and landscaping. Visual privacy should be provided through structural screening and landscaping treatment. Auditory privacy in multi-family dwellings should be provided through soundproofing. All trees planted shall be as found in specifications approved by the Shade Tree Commission.
- 7. The architectural design of buildings should be developed with consideration given to the relationship of adjacent development in terms of building height, mass, texture, materials, line and pattern and character.
- 8. Building location and placement should be developed with consideration given to minimizing removal of trees and change of topography. Any trees to be removed which

- are planted in a public right-of-way or on municipal property shall be reviewed by the Shade Tree Commission.
- 9. In multi-family developments, television and other antennas shall be centralized.
- 10. On-site circulation shall be designed to make possible adequate fire and police protection.
- 11. Off-street parking facilities shall be provided in accordance with Chapter 1145. In large parking areas, visual relief shall be provided through the use of tree planted and landscaped dividers, islands and walkways. In multi-family developments no parking or service areas shall be permitted between any street and the main building. All trees planted shall be as found in specifications approved by the Shade Tree Commission.
- 12. Signs shall be provided in accordance with these Codified Ordinances.
- 13. Any trees planted on site shall be on approved list of Shade Tree Commission and planted in accordance with Commission standards.
- 10. Code Chapter 1153, "Conditional Zoning Certificates", includes Code Section 1153.03, "Basis of Determination". Code Section 1153.03 was reviewed by the Commission and states:
 - (a) <u>Planning Commission Duties.</u> The Planning Commission shall establish beyond reasonable doubt that the general standards and the specific standards pertinent to each use indicated herein are satisfied by the completion and operation of the proposed development. The Planning Commission may also impose such additional conditions and safeguards deemed necessary for the general welfare, for the protection of individual property rights and for the insuring that the intent and objectives of this Zoning Ordinance will be observed.
 - (b) <u>General Standards.</u> The Planning Commission shall review the particular facts and circumstances of each proposed use in terms of the following standards and shall find adequate evidence showing that such use on the proposed location:
 - 1. Will be harmonious with and in accordance with the general objectives or with any specific objectives of the Land Use and Thoroughfare Plan of current adoption;
 - 2. Will be designed, constructed, operated and maintained so as to be harmonious and appropriate in appearance with the existing or intended character of the general vicinity and that such use will not change the essential character of the same area;
 - 3. Will not be hazardous or disturbing to existing or future neighboring uses;
 - 4. Will not be detrimental to property in the immediate vicinity or to the community as a whole:
 - 5. Will be served adequately by essential public facilities and services such as highways, streets, police and fire protection drainage structures, refuse disposal and schools; or that the persons or agencies responsible for the establishment of the proposed use shall be able to provide such service adequately;
 - 6. Will be in compliance with State, County and City regulations;
 - 7. Will have vehicular approaches to the property which shall be so designed as not to create an interference with traffic or surrounding public streets or roads.

11. Code Section 1145.10(e) states:

<u>Driveway Width.</u> The width of driveways at the curb line and at the right-of-way line shall comply with the requirements in Table 2. The Commission may permit wider driveways for three or more entrance/exit lanes for those drives with a high volume of traffic. In the case of a four-lane drive, the lanes shall be designed as two adjacent entrance and exit lanes divided by a minimum six-foot wide barrier.

TABLE 2 WIDTH OF DRIVEWAYS

	Maximum Width at Curb Line (feet)	Width at R.O.W. Line (feet)	
		Minimum	Maximum
Residential	22	10	20
Commercial or Public Facility	38	12	24
Industrial	120	24	75

12. Code Section 1153.04(a)(15)(B.) applies to the proposed motor vehicle filling station use and states:

No more than two (2) driveway approaches shall be permitted directly from any thoroughfares and shall not exceed thirty (30) feet in width at the property line.

13. The Applicant proposed three access drives with the following widths:

Access Drive	Width at Curb Line	Width at Right-of-Way*
Lafayette Road	67 ft.	44 ft.
North Lake Road	43 ft.	37 ft.
South Lake Road	100 ft.	65 ft.
*Width at Right-of-V	dth at Property Line	

- 14. Andrew Dutton, City of Medina Community Development Director, testified under oath at the Commission Hearing that:
 - a. The proposal was for a convenience store with a counterclockwise drive through in the center of the Property, passenger vehicle filling on the south side of the Property, and tractor-trailer filling on the north side of the Property.
 - b. The site plan met most general development standards, such as setbacks, building height, and lot coverage.
 - c. The site plan incorporated three access drives with widths between 37 ft. and 65 ft. at the right-of-way and 43 ft. and 100 ft. at the curb. The number and widths of access drives did not comply with Code Section 1153.04(d)(15)(B.) and Code Section 1145.10(e). An area variance was submitted to the Board and would be reviewed later in the evening.

- d. The Code stated that parking, to the extent feasible, shall be located behind the front wall of the building. The application incorporated passenger vehicle parking in front of the front wall of the building, which was a common configuration for a convenience store with a filling station.
- e. The Code required a 10 ft. wide landscaping strip between a parking area and the right-of-way, though a 5 ft. wide landscaping strip may be permitted by the Commission. The proposed parking was setback 10 ft. from the right-of-way with a 5 ft. landscaped strip to accommodate a public sidewalk. The City Engineer preferred to locate the public sidewalk on the Property, which was not a typical configuration, in order to provide a buffer between the public sidewalk and the roadway.
- f. The Community Development Department recommended approval of application P25-02, as submitted with the following conditions:
 - 1. The project shall comply with Code Sections 1145.10(e) and 1153.04(d)(15)(B.) regarding the number and width of access points or receive variance approval from the Board.
 - 2. The proposed public sidewalk shall connect with the existing curb ramp at the corner of Lafayette Road and Lake Road and a private sidewalk shall connect from the public sidewalk to the convenience store building per Code Section 1130.10.
 - 3. Two trees shall be located adjacent to Lafayette Road in the area marked "LAWN" on the Landscaping Plan.
 - 4. A light fixture detail shall be submitted in compliance with Code Section 1145.09(c)(6).
- 15. The Applicant testified under oath at the Commission Hearing that:
 - a. The site layout intended to segregate truck traffic from automobile traffic.
 - b. Access points were located as far away as possible from the intersection to prevent stacking issues.
 - c. A sign could be installed prohibiting trucks from entering the site from Lafayette Road.
- 16. Majeed Makhlouf, representing Minit Mart LLC, the property owner of 1010 Lafayette Road, stated at the Commission Hearing that:
 - a. His client requested that the Commission reject the application, or at the minimum, table the application.
 - b. The Commission should know the outcome of the requested variance prior to deciding on the application.
 - c. The application would devalue his client's property and create safety issues for their customers.

- d. The application would produce more traffic than the previous use and no information on traffic generated or traffic impacts had been provided.
- 17. William Deluca, Regional Manager for Minit Mart, testified under oath at the Commission Hearing that the proposed application would create additional traffic.
- 18. Cammie Reust testified under oath at the Commission Hearing that the proposed application would create additional traffic.
- 19. Troy Gerspacher testified under oath at the Commission Hearing that the application would benefit industrial users in the area.
- 20. Based on all of the forgoing Paragraphs 1 through 19, the testimony of the witnesses, and the exhibit submitted and accepted at the Commission Hearing, the Commission found the application complied with Code Section 1109.02(c), "Review and Action by the Planning Commission" and Code Section 1153.03, "Basis of Determination" and approved the application with the following conditions:
 - a. The approval of the requested variances by the Board of Zoning Appeals.
 - b. The proposed public sidewalk shall connect with the existing curb ramp at the corner of Lafayette Road and Lake Road.
 - c. Two trees shall be located adjacent to Lafayette Road in the area marked "LAWN" on the Landscaping Plan.
 - d. A light fixture detail shall be submitted in compliance with Code Section 1145.09.
 - e. Semi-trucks shall be prohibited from turning into the property at the Lafayette Road entrance.
- 21. The adoption of these Conclusions of Fact constitutes the final decision of the Commission in this case.

APPROVE	D BY:	
Motion of	the City of Medina Planning Commission on April 10, 2025.	
Confirmed	:	
	Rick Grice, Chair of the Planning Commission	

1	
2	
3	
4	CITY OF MEDINA PLANNING COMMISSION
5	CASE P25-02
6	999 LAFAYETTE ROAD
7	
8	
9	
10	Transcript of Proceedings held on Thursday,
11	the 13th day of March, 2025, before the
12	City of Medina Planning Commission, commencing
13	at approximately 6:00 p.m., as taken by
14	Makenzie J. Sabo, RPR, Notary Public within and for
15	the State of Ohio, and held in Medina City Hall,
16	132 North Elmwood Avenue, Medina, Ohio 44256.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	MEDINA COURT REPORTERS 209 North Broadway Street
24	Medina, Ohio 44256 (330) 723-2482
25	office@crmedina.com

```
1
      APPEARANCES:
 2
       Rick Grice, Chairman,
       Nathan Case, Member,
 3
       Bruce Gold, Member,
       Monica Russell, Member,
 4
       Paul Rose, Member.
 5
       City of Medina Planning and Community
       Development Department,
 6
       Andrew Dutton, Community Development Director,
       Sarah Tome, Administrative Assistant.
 7
 8
      Applicant:
 9
       Stephen Berry, President, Architectural Design Inc.
       Harry Singh, Property Owner.
10
       Paul Singh, Property Owner.
11
12
      Also present:
13
       Berns, Ockner & Greenberger, LLC
       by Majeed G. Makhlouf, Esq.
14
       on behalf of Minit Mart, LLC.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

(Whereupon, all persons in attendance 1 2 were previously placed under oath by the 3 notary.) 4 PROCEEDINGS 5 6 CHAIRMAN: 7 Next item on the agenda is Case 25-02. This is for the property 8 9 at 999 West Lafayette Road. This is a conditional zoning certificate and site plan 10 11 approval. Andrew. 12 MR. DUTTON: 13 (Displaying case packet.) 14 15 Here we have the property on the northeast corner of Lake Road and Lafayette Road. 16 17 shown on the map, we have industrial uses to 18 the north east and southeast, commercial to the south and southwest, and to the west, on the 19 20 other side of Lake Road, we have single-family homes and then an automobile sales lot a little 21 22 bit further to the north there. 23 Here we have the site plan for the project, the convenience store in the center of the lot 24

with a counterclockwise drive-through. On the

25

south side of the lot is a passenger vehicle fueling area, and the north side is a truck fueling area. They're connected on the -- to the east of the convenience store with a drive connecting them.

This site meets most of our typical development standards, such as setbacks and building height, lot coverage. We'll note there's three access points here. We've got one on Lafayette Road pushed as far east as possible with three lanes - that will be for passenger vehicle traffic - and then there's two access points on Lake Road for the truck traffic.

So the widths of the drives are between thirty-seven and sixty-five feet at the right-of-way and forty-three feet and a hundred feet at the curb. So there are a couple of sections that restrict the right-of-way width. One is 1153.04, which is specific to fueling stations or gas stations, limits the maximum drive width to thirty feet, and you're only allowed to have two, so we have three and they're wider here; and then 1145.10(e) also limits the width to twenty-four feet at the

right-of-way and thirty-eight feet at the curb. So the applicant has requested a variance to those two code sections which will be heard later tonight.

The parking -- the passenger vehicle

parking on the south side of the lot meets the

number requirements. I'll note that the code

states that parking, to the extent feasible,

shall be located behind the front wall of the

building. Obviously here it's all in the front

of the building, between the building and

Lafayette Road; however, that is a common setup

for a gas station in this kind of instance.

We have sidewalks shown on the plan here, and the applicant has provided a revision as well, so the -- as shown here, they're on the property and they don't connect to a curb ramp in the southeast corner. A revised version shows them in the right-of-way, which is where they usually are. It connects them to the curb ramp there.

The issue is, on Lake Road - you can see a little bit on the map there - that puts the sidewalk right onto the curb if you put it in the right-of-way, so the City Engineer would

prefer this plan where the sidewalk's actually on the property to provide a little bit of a buffer for pedestrians from the street. So that's not the typical setup. We can get an easement to make that all straightforward and above board.

The second part is that a sidewalk should connect the public sidewalk to the convenience store, which will need to be included.

Next is the landscaping. So I know that we have some homes to the west on the other side of Lake Road. They've provided some buffering from the west side of the truck area and to the west side of the convenience store. Also a ten-foot-wide strip is required between the passenger parking area and the right-of-way. So here on the original plan we're showing that ten-foot setback but only a five-foot strip, so the Planning Commission would need to basically waive that requirement, which they're permitted to do, to incorporate that sidewalk onto the property, which is, as I said, the preference of the Engineer.

The applicant's also noted stormwater, which is not shown on the plans. Certainly

would be underground. The City Engineer has reviewed as well as accepted that as he will need to review the underground storage rather than a detention or retention pond.

2.0

So here we have some -- the front and west building elevations, so these will be the ones facing the street. We've got a flat roof with varying roof lines. It's predominantly EIFS with two colors and recessed sections, and then we've got a lower stone section. And I know we've talked about use of EIFS. The code actually states it's permitted -- or stucco is permitted, which basically EIFS is a synthetic stucco, with bands of accent color or recessions, which it includes, so in this case the EIFS would be permitted.

And here is just a rendering of -- a 3D rendering of what the building would look like.

So staff recommends approval of the application as submitted with parking in the front yard and a five-foot parking setback, with the following conditions:

The project shall comply with Sections 1145.01(e) and 1153.04(d)(15)(B) regarding the number and width of access points or receive a

variance from the Board of Zoning Appeals; 1 2 The proposed public sidewalk shall connect 3 with the curb -- existing curb ramp at the corner of Lafayette Road and Lake Road and a 4 private sidewalk shall connect from the public 5 sidewalk to the convenience store building per 6 Section 1130.10; 7 And a light fixture detail shall be 8 9 submitted in compliance with Section 1145.09(c)(6). 10 11 Thank you. 12 CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. For the applicant, if you'd give us your 13 14 name and address and anything you'd like to add to what Mr. Dutton said. 15 16 MR. BERRY: Yes. My name Push. Okay, I'm sorry. 17 is -- am I on? 18 My name is Stephen Berry. I'm the project architect for the owners. I have 19 20 Mr. Harry Singh and Mr. Paul Singh here beside 21 And my address is 374 Boardman Poland 22 Road, Youngstown, Ohio. 23 CHAIRMAN: Anything you'd 24 like to add? 25 MR. BERRY: Well, Mr. Dutton

did a great job of explaining the project to 1 2 I would add that, you know, we try to segregate the semitruck traffic from the 3 automobile traffic and keep the curb accesses 4 furthest away from the intersection as possible 5 because of the stacking issues and -- but 6 7 again, I think he's explained it pretty well. I guess I would just, you know, throw it back 8 9 to you and say if you have any questions about the project, we'd be happy to answer them for 10 11 you. 12 CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good 13 enough. Thank you. This is a conditional zoning certificate, 14 so at this time I'll open a public hearing and 15 ask if anyone has any comments regarding this 16 17 application, for or against; and if so, if 18 you'd find an open mic and give us your name and address. 19 20 MR. MAKHLOUF: Good evening, 21 Mr. Chairman. My name is Majeed Makhlouf. I'm a partner with the law firm of 22 23 Berns, Ockner & Greenberger in Beachwood, 24 Ohio, and I represent Minit Mart, LLC and the

property owner at 1010 Lafayette Road, right

25

across the street, an impacted property owner with respect to this proposed development.

We plead with you to reject this
application or, at a bare minimum, table it
today because it's premature, at a bare
minimum. They are asking for very, very
substantial variances that will go before
the Board of Zoning Appeals, and for the
Planning Commission to jump and grant approval
of the conditional use and of a site plan
without knowing whether the variances will be
granted or not would be a big undertaking.

But I think, even putting that aside, on the merits of the application - and this is a conditional use - the applicant bears the burden to prove its case to you beyond a reasonable doubt. That's the standard in your code, and that's almost like the criminal standard. It's not just sort of the regular standard. The applicant, respectfully, made no case to the Planning Commission.

A couple of issues. One of the primary issues, why my client is up here, is one of the primary criteria that this Commission has to consider is the impact on the surrounding

properties and if there's a detriment to the surrounding properties, and this development will directly devalue my client's property and create safety issues for our customers.

I have with me here Mr. William Deluca, who's the regional operations manager, and he'll talk a little bit more about the existing site conditions and the traffic issues that are there and how this will exacerbate it.

But I will just point to the Board, we're talking about a site that was used effectively for a used car, you know, operation. That does generate some traffic, but it's not continuous operational traffic. We're changing it with two, or three for that matter, uses that are continuously generating a significant amount of traffic; a drive-through for food, a gas station.

We've heard zero testimony before this

Board about the number of trips that this is

expected to generate, the impact on this

two-lane road, what will happen with all this

amount of traffic. There is no traffic impact

study in front of the Commission, and I would

submit that the traffic issues that are -- that

will be caused by this operation will cause safety concerns to our customers who will access our site and make it more dangerous for them to use our site, and that's a direct impact on us that will cause a significant harm with this operation.

I think if the Commission just listened to the number -- and I do have a history with Mr. Dutton from history, and I have the utmost respect for him. If you listen to the number of times in presenting the case to you where he talked about "This is not the typical setup for an operation like this, we need to deviate for this or that," it very much feels like we're trying very hard to sandwich a use on a site that doesn't make sense for it, and we're saying "We're going to turn a blind eye to all these issues."

"Ten-foot landscaping strip, we'll cut it back to five to make the site work. There -- our code has limitations on curb cuts, how many curb cuts can be in, we'll add another one to make it work. The width of the curb cuts, we'll expand them to make it work."

The curb cut on Lafayette is going to be

three lanes; one going in, one coming out -one coming out left turn, right turn, and one
going in. We have absolutely nothing before
the Commission tonight when it wants to rule on
this application as to visibility, as to safety
issues. When you have three lanes and with
this amount of traffic that we're talking
about, gas station and food, how safe is this
kind of operation going to work?

None of that is before the Planning

Commission. I very much ask the Commission to

deny the application, but at a bare minimum I

would ask you to table it. It just was

submitted on February 21. The Commission at

least has forty-five days under its rules.

Give us the chance - because we just learned of

this - to bring you the traffic experts who

would testify as to the impact of this.

Right now you will have the pictures presented to the Board and submitted into the record, that Mr. Deluca will put into the record, but we submit that we need, ourselves, the opportunity to make an opposition to you. But nonetheless, the burden rests with the applicant and it's a burden beyond a reasonable

1	doubt, and they presented pretty much nothing.
2	And with that I'll turn it to Mr. Deluca.
3	Thank you.
4	MR. GOLD: Excuse me.
5	MR. MAKHLOUF: Sure.
6	MR. GOLD: You made the
7	claim that we shouldn't do the we should
8	table the applicant due to the fact that he
9	does not have an approval from the BZA.
10	MR. MAKHLOUF: Correct.
11	MR. GOLD: BZA meets after
12	us. Our approval would be on the condition
13	that the BZA passes it, and that is acceptable
14	per our code, so we can do that.
15	Secondly, you haven't brought any case as
16	to how the traffic implications are going to
17	affect the surrounding area. You have just
18	claimed that the applicant hasn't provided
19	enough information. In your counterclaim you
20	have provided no evidence that there's going to
21	be a detrimental effect to the traffic pattern.
22	I pass your client's place of business
23	every day, including stopping in there several
24	times during the week before work. I see the
25	traffic pattern. I don't understand how you're

making this claim and you're not providing any 1 2 evidence for that. MR. MAKHLOUF: So a number of 3 issues. And I understand that the Commission 4 can always grant conditional approvals. I 5 submit, though, with the substantial variances 6 7 that we're talking about here and the -- that that would not make sense here to rush --8 9 MR. GOLD: What substantial variances are you referring to? The fact 10 11 that they want a seventy-five-foot curb cut? Which is allowed and which should be in the 12 13 industrial area, especially when semis are turning into the property. 14 15 MR. MAKHLOUF: What is -- and I don't want to get into an argument, but the --16 it's a substantial variation from what the code 17 18 allows. The difference is pretty substantial. 19 It's not a one-percent or two-percent variance, 2.0 it's a pretty substantial variance from what the code allows. 21 22 There's another driveway altogether that's 23 not allowed for by the code. The distance 24 between the intersection and the driveway on 25 Lafayette, none of that -- I mean -- and again,

1	I understand in terms of we do not have a			
2	counterclaim before the Board. The this is			
3	not a sort of a complaint and a counterclaim			
4	situation. This is an application for a			
5	conditional conditional approval that the			
6	applicant bears the burden, and we have the			
7	ability to identify the issues where they			
8	failed to meet their burden.			
9	And Mr. Deluca will show you the pictures			
10	of the traffic as it exists today. We're			
11	talking about being near a train line, and when			
12	the traffic is stopped, the amount I'm			
13	trying not to I'm not the person who lives			
14	at the property, who knows the traffic. I'm			
15	trying to wait for Mr. Deluca to address that,			
16	but			
17	MR. GOLD: What train			
18	crossing are you talking about?			
19	MR. DELUCA: On Smith Road.			
20	MR. GOLD: Two miles away.			
21	(Whereupon, a discussion amongst the			
22	board members was then had out of the hearing			
23	of the notary.)			
24	MR. MAKHLOUF: We're putting in			
25	the record the pictures of			

1	MR. DELUCA: These are		
2	pictures of Smith Road today right at the		
3	entrance of the Medina Fairgrounds at roughly		
4	two o'clock (providing).		
5	MR. ROSE: Okay, yeah, all		
6	right, but that's a totally different road.		
7	Smith Road does not cross Lake and Lafayette.		
8	Smith Road is the next road north.		
9	MR. DELUCA: Yep.		
10	MR. ROSE: Okay?		
11	MR. DELUCA: Here's pictures		
12	at roughly five or ten minutes to 3:00 right on		
13	Lafayette (providing).		
14	MR. ROSE: One truck.		
15	MR. DELUCA: This here is the		
16	corner of the store, and you can see all the		
17	cars going back (indicating). There's roughly		
18	ten cars.		
19	MR. GOLD: But that occurs		
20	at your property as well.		
21	MR. DELUCA: Correct.		
22	MR. GOLD: So then		
23	theoretically, to your point, we shouldn't have		
24	allowed your property the permit to put a		
25	gas/convenience store because of the traffic.		

ĺ	
1	Your property blocks you're going in and
2	entering into your store, there's plenty of
3	times when I am going down Lafayette and I go
4	through the intersection, go to turn left, and
5	have to wait until traffic clears so I can make
6	a left into your facility.
7	MR. DELUCA: Correct. I'm not
8	sure
9	MR. GOLD: So
10	MR. DELUCA: of the year
11	that that property was approved of. I've been
12	overseeing that property for seven years, and I
13	know that if this is allowed the amount of
14	traffic that is going to get created in that
15	two-lane highway.
16	MR. MAKHLOUF: And I think

MR. MAKHLOUF: And I think
that's the point, what's there is there, but
with what's there, that's there. To come and
add another use that is very traffic-intensive
without any traffic study, without -- literally
we don't even have any testimony before the
Commission on the number of trips that would be
generated on the -- you know, just sort of -- I
think the Commission correctly identifies that
there's a traffic issue today, and to just add

to it without the requisite studies and expert testimony in the record is not proper.

> MS. RUSSELL: I quess my question here would be, why would there be more traffic? I think the issue is that there would be less traffic going to your business and some going to the competitor across the street because it's a similar business. So I don't know why there would be more traffic. just it's a similar business that's across the street, so I don't see why there would be more people coming down to service the businesses.

> MR. MAKHLOUF: This is not a case of competition, and I think it's almost the -- it's called the gravitational model that always happens, which is why you have fast food restaurants locate next to each other, or you have gas station -- you know, it's when -- when you have a number of similar uses next to each other, they often generate more people coming to the area because of the power to compare and it does very much increase traffic as opposed to take from one to the other.

> MR. DUTTON: And I'd just like to add, so our site plans are always sent to

18

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

the City Engineer for his review prior to the Planning Commission getting them. He has the ability to request a traffic impact study or a traffic analysis. He did not require those in this case. Usually site developments this size he would not require it. Something like Acme, that required a traffic impact study. So he did not require that. He is qualified to make that decision.

And just -- and I know it's kind of ancillary, but with the ten-foot setback for the landscaping, the applicant is willing to meet it. We prefer they didn't so we keep the sidewalk further from the road. So that's actually -- we'd rather them not meet -- well, it's not actually a variance, it's something you can waive, but we prefer it be five foot rather than ten foot for safety of the residents.

CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

Anything else?

MR. MAKHLOUF: No, I think that's it for now. We very much ask you to deny it or, at a bare minimum, table it to give us the opportunity to come back with -- we

1	literally just got notice of this very			
2	recently.			
3	And again, the other issue is where the			
4	parking is, you know, being in the front as			
5	opposed to being in the back for this area,			
6	what your code expects, but would leave it at			
7	that for now.			
8	Thank you.			
9	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.			
10	MR. MAKHLOUF: I understand			
11	there are other individuals who wish to speak,			
12	but at least on behalf of this property owner			
13	that's it.			
14	CHAIRMAN: Okay. Got it.			
15	Thank you.			
16	Anyone else with us this evening have any			
17	comments regarding this application?			
18	MR. BERRY: May I make an			
19	observation?			
20	MS. REUST: (Indicating.)			
21	CHAIRMAN: Find an open mic			
22	give us your name and address and anything			
23	you'd like to add.			
24	NOTARY: Ma'am, before you			
25	speak, can you raise your right hand so I can			

1 swear you in. 2 (Whereupon, CAMMIE REUST was then 3 placed under oath by the notary.) MS. REUST: 4 I'm Cammie Reust. I live in Medina. 5 I travel that way every day, same as you do 6 7 as well. What I see from -- as a resident, I 8 have to agree with the fact that it is probably 9 going to generate more traffic to that area. With the factories and stuff that are over 10 11 there now, I think it's just -- for me, it's 12 like -- that intersection right there I think is what's going to be the issue because it's 13 14 going to be a buildup of traffic of people trying to get to work, people trying to get out 15 of work and stuff, and the back and forth. 16 My biggest concern is the extra traffic 17 18 that it's going to generate to the area as far 19 as, you know, what that's going to do for us 20 sitting there waiting in line, trying to get 21 through that light and everything else, to get in and out of town. 22 23 So that's the big issue right there. 24 CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. Anyone else? 25

1 MS. TOME: Excuse me, ma'am. 2 Ma'am, we need your address for the record. MS. REUST: Oh. I live at 3 4 580 North Harmony Street. MS. TOME: 5 Thank you. MR. GERSPACHER: Troy Gerspacher, 6 7 5734 Trystin Tree Drive, Medina, Ohio. I am with Gerspacher Real Estate Group. I represent 8 9 the seller in the transaction. The seller is not here tonight, and I just want to, I guess, 10 11 recommend to the Board that I encourage you to 12 pass this. I think it's a commercially zoned 13 property, it's a good use, economic development 14 use of the property. There's tons of industrial buildings that 15 surround the areas. They do need to fill up 16 17 for gas. I really think that the in-and-out 18 access with the trucks not being on the main road of Lafayette and being on Lake is a very 19 20 good use of the property, so I'm recommending 21 that you pass it, and I think it will be a 22 positive thing for the users that -- the 23 industrial users that are around the park. 24 Thank you. 25 CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

1	Anyone else?			
2	(No response.)			
3	CHAIRMAN: Okay. I will			
4	close the public hearing at this time and ask			
5	the Commission if they have any other			
6	questions, comments, et cetera.			
7	MR. GOLD: Andrew, do we			
8	need to have a lighting plan submitted with it?			
9	MR. DUTTON: There was a			
10	lighting plan in the packet that was compliant.			
11	The only thing I needed was just the light			
12	fixture.			
13	MR. GOLD: Okay.			
14	(Whereupon, a discussion amongst the			
15	board members was then had out of the hearing			
16	of the notary.)			
17	MR. CASE: Could we add a			
18	required sign to Lafayette saying that no truck			
19	traffic can enter in that way?			
20	CHAIRMAN: Sure.			
21	MR. DUTTON: I guess I would			
22	ask the applicant what the plan is for that			
23	access point for the truck traffic.			
24	(Whereupon, a discussion amongst			
25	Stephen Berry, Harry Singh, and Paul Singh was			

then had out of the hearing of the notary.) 1 2 MR. BERRY: The owners say that's not a problem to have a sign for that, 3 for the trucks at the Lafayette Road access. 4 And if I -- may I make just an observation? 5 Just quickly hearing this, I mean, the business 6 7 across the street catty-corner also has parking out front. It is the same use as our project 8 9 and, also, appears to have both sides curb cuts bigger than thirty feet, so that's just an 10 11 observation that might be relevant. 12 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Ms. Russell, do you have anything? 13 MS. RUSSELL: 14 (Nodding 15 negatively.) MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I 16 17 just --CHAIRMAN: 18 Mr. Rose. MR. ROSE: I drive this 19 20 every day around noon-ish, between 11:00 and 1:00 every day, so I see a different flavor of 21 22 the traffic, and there's times where I breeze 23 right through and there's other times where 24 I'm sitting there waiting for a truck to either 25 pull in or pull out of the gas station there on

42. Okay? So --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In looking at an aerial photograph of this, there's a turn lane at each aspect, each street it has a turn lane, so I don't think we're going to be backing up traffic all the way to Smith Road and the railroad track for this here.

CHAIRMAN: Okay. Any other

questions? Comments?

MR. GOLD: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to put forth a motion to approve the application as submitted with the caveat of the passage of the requested approvals to the Board of Zoning Appeals, that the proposed sidewalk shall not connect with the existing -or shall connect with the existing curb ramp at the corner of Lafayette and Lake, that two trees shall be located adjacent to Lafayette Road in the marked "LAWN" on the landscape plan, and the lighting fixture detail shall be submitted in compliance with Section 1145.09, and that -- also that the -there be prohibited turning into the property off of Lafayette by trucks. And "by trucks," that would be semis.

1	Good enough?		
2	CHAIRMAN: We have a motion.		
3	MR. CASE: Second.		
4	CHAIRMAN: We have a motion		
5	and a second. Is there any other discussion?		
6	MR. MAKHLOUF: Mr. Chairman?		
7	CHAIRMAN: Roll call.		
8	I'm sorry, there was a		
9	MR. MAKHLOUF: I just I		
10	apologize for having to do this, but it's a		
11	legal requirement that I just have to do it.		
12	I need to put on the record that my client,		
13	if there's approval, intends to appeal to the		
14	Court of Common Pleas. It's a requirement by		
15	law that I have to say this.		
16	So thank you.		
17	CHAIRMAN: Anything else?		
18	(No response.)		
19	CHAIRMAN: Roll call.		
20	MS. TOME: Grice?		
21	CHAIRMAN: Yes.		
22	MS. TOME: Rose?		
23	MR. ROSE: Yes.		
24	MS. TOME: Russell?		
25	MS. RUSSELL: Yes.		

1	MS. T	'OME:	Case?
2	MR. C	'ASE:	Yes.
3	MS. T	'OME:	Gold?
4	MR. G	OLD:	Yes.
5	MS. T	'OME:	Motion carried.
6	CHAIR	MAN:	Thank you,
7	everyone.		
8	MR. B	ERRY:	Thank you.
9	(Ca	ase concluded.)	
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

STATE OF OHIO 1 ss: 2 COUNTY OF MEDINA. CERTIFICATE 3 4 I, Makenzie J. Sabo, RPR, Notary Public within and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and 5 qualified, hereby certify that before the giving of 6 7 their testimony, all persons were first duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth, and 8 9 nothing but the truth in this case aforesaid. I further certify that said hearing was held at 10 11 the time and place specified in the above case and was concluded on the 13th day of March, 2025. 12 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand 13 and affixed my seal of office at Medina, Ohio this 14 15 28th day of March, 2025. 16 Makenzie (). Sabo 17 Makenzie J. Sabo, RPR 18 and Notary Public within and for the State of Ohio. 19 My commission expires 09/19/28. 20 21 22 23 24 25

0	Α	21:17, 26:12 approval [7] - 3:11,	breeze [1] - 25:22 bring [1] - 13:17	27:4, 27:7, 27:17, 27:19, 27:21, 28:6
09/19/28 [1] - 29:19	ability [2] - 16:7, 20:3	7:19, 10:9, 14:9,	Broadway [1] - 1:23	chance [1] - 13:16
	absolutely [1] - 13:3	14:12, 16:5, 27:13	brought [1] - 14:15	changing [1] - 11:14
1	accent [1] - 7:14	approvals [2] - 15:5,	Bruce [1] - 2:3	CITY [1] - 1:4
	acceptable [1] - 14:13	26:13	buffer [1] - 6:3	City [6] - 1:12, 1:15,
1010 [1] - 9:25	accepted [1] - 7:2	approve [1] - 26:11	buffering [1] - 6:12	2:5, 5:25, 7:1, 20:1
1130.10 [1] - 8:7	access [7] - 4:9, 4:13,	approved [1] - 18:11	building [7] - 4:8,	claim [2] - 14:7, 15:1
1145.01(e [1] - 7:24	7:25, 12:3, 23:18,	architect [1] - 8:19	5:10, 5:11, 7:6, 7:18,	claimed [1] - 14:18
1145.09 [1] - 26:22	24:23, 25:4	Architectural [1] - 2:9	8:6	clears [1] - 18:5
1145.09(c)(6) [1] - 8:10	accesses [1] - 9:4	area [10] - 4:2, 4:3,	buildings [1] - 23:15	client [2] - 10:23,
1145.10(e _[1] - 4:24	Acme [1] - 20:6	6:13, 6:16, 14:17, 15:13, 19:21, 21:5,	buildup [1] - 22:14	27:12 client's [2] - 11:3,
1153.04 [1] - 4:20	add [9] - 8:14, 8:24, 9:2, 12:22, 18:19,	22:9, 22:18	burden [5] - 10:16,	14:22
1153.04(d)(15)(B _[1] -	18:25, 19:25, 21:23,	areas [1] - 23:16	13:24, 13:25, 16:6, 16:8	close [1] - 24:4
7:24	24:17	argument [1] - 15:16	business [5] - 14:22,	code [10] - 5:3, 5:7,
11:00 [1] - 25:20	address [6] - 8:14,	aside [1] - 10:13	19:6, 19:8, 19:10,	7:11, 10:18, 12:21,
132 [1] - 1:16	8:21, 9:19, 16:15,	aspect [1] - 26:3	25:6	14:14, 15:17, 15:21,
13th [2] - 1:11, 29:12	21:22, 23:2	Assistant [1] - 2:6	businesses [1] -	15:23, 21:6
1:00 [1] - 25:21	adjacent [1] - 26:18	attendance [1] - 3:1	19:12	color [1] - 7:14
	Administrative [1] -	automobile [2] - 3:21,	BZA [3] - 14:9, 14:11,	colors [1] - 7:9
2	2:6	9:4	14:13	coming [4] - 13:1,
	aerial [1] - 26:2	Avenue [1] - 1:16		13:2, 19:12, 19:20
2025 [3] - 1:11, 29:12,	affect [1] - 14:17	_	С	commencing [1] -
29:15	affixed [1] - 29:14	В	CAMMIE (1) 20.2	1:12
209 [1] - 1:23 21 [1] - 13:14	aforesaid [1] - 29:9	backing (4) 26:E	CAMMIE [1] - 22:2 Cammie [1] - 22:4	comments [4] - 9:16,
25-02 [1] - 3:8	agenda [1] - 3:8	backing [1] - 26:5 bands [1] - 7:14	car [1] - 11:12	21:17, 24:6, 26:9
28th [1] - 29:15	agree [1] - 22:8	bare [4] - 10:4, 10:5,	carried [1] - 28:5	commercial [1] - 3:18 commercially [1] -
2011[1] 20110	allowed [5] - 4:23, 15:12, 15:23, 17:24,	13:12, 20:24	cars [2] - 17:17, 17:18	23:12
3	18:13	Beachwood [1] - 9:23	case [10] - 3:13, 7:15,	COMMISSION [1] -
	allows [2] - 15:18,	bears [2] - 10:15, 16:6	10:16, 10:21, 12:11,	1:4
330 [1] - 1:24	15:21	behalf [2] - 2:14,	14:15, 19:14, 20:5,	commission [1] -
374 [1] - 8:21	almost [2] - 10:18,	21:12	29:9, 29:11	29:19
3:00 [1] - 17:12	19:14	behind [1] - 5:9	CASE [4] - 1:5, 24:17,	Commission [16] -
3D [1] - 7:17	altogether [1] - 15:22	Berns [2] - 2:13, 9:23	27:3, 28:2	1:12, 6:19, 10:9,
4	amount [5] - 11:16,	BERRY [5] - 8:16,	Case [4] - 2:2, 3:8,	10:21, 10:24, 11:24,
4	11:23, 13:7, 16:12,	8:25, 21:18, 25:2,	28:1, 28:9	12:7, 13:4, 13:11,
42 [1] - 26:1	18:13	28:8	catty [1] - 25:7	13:14, 15:4, 18:22,
44256 [2] - 1:16, 1:24	analysis [1] - 20:4	Berry [3] - 2:9, 8:18,	catty-corner [1] - 25:7	18:24, 20:2, 24:5
11200 [2] 1.10, 1.21	ancillary [1] - 20:11	24:25	caused [1] - 12:1	commissioned [1] -
5	Andrew [3] - 2:6, 3:12,	beside [1] - 8:20 between [5] - 4:15,	caveat [1] - 26:12 center [1] - 3:24	29:5 common [1] - 5:12
	24:7 answer [1] - 9:10	5:11, 6:15, 15:24,	certainly [1] - 6:25	Common [1] - 27:14
5734 [1] - 23:7	apologize [1] - 27:10	25:20	CERTIFICATE [1] -	Community [2] - 2:5,
580 [1] - 23:4	appeal [1] - 27:13	beyond [2] - 10:16,	29:3	2:6
	Appeals [3] - 8:1,	13:25	certificate [2] - 3:10,	compare [1] - 19:21
6	10:8, 26:14	big [2] - 10:12, 22:23	9:14	competition [1] -
6:00 [1] - 1:13	APPEARANCES [1] -	bigger [1] - 25:10	certify [2] - 29:6,	19:14
0.00 [i] = 1.13	2:1	biggest [1] - 22:17	29:10	competitor [1] - 19:7
7	Applicant [1] - 2:8	bit [4] - 3:22, 5:23, 6:2,	cetera [1] - 24:6	complaint [1] - 16:3
'	applicant [11] - 5:2,	11:7	Chairman [5] - 2:2,	compliance [2] - 8:9,
723-2482 [1] - 1:24	5:15, 8:13, 10:15,	blind [1] - 12:17	9:21, 25:16, 26:10,	26:21
	10:20, 13:25, 14:8,	blocks [1] - 18:1	27:6	compliant [1] - 24:10
9	14:18, 16:6, 20:12,	Board [8] - 8:1, 10:8,	CHAIRMAN [22] - 3:7,	comply [1] - 7:23
	24:22	11:10, 11:20, 13:20,	8:12, 8:23, 9:12, 20:20, 21:9, 21:14,	concern [1] - 22:17
999 [2] - 1:6, 3:9	applicant's [1] - 6:24	16:2, 23:11, 26:14 board [3] - 6:6, 16:22,	21:21, 22:24, 23:25,	concerns [1] - 12:2
	application [9] - 7:20,	24:15	24:3, 24:20, 25:12,	concluded [2] - 28:9, 29:12
	9:17, 10:4, 10:14, 13:5, 13:12, 16:4,	Boardman [1] - 8:21	25:18, 26:8, 27:2,	condition [1] - 14:12
	10.5, 10.12, 10.7,		• • •	

conditional [7] - 3:10, 9:14, 10:10, 10:15, 15:5, 16:5 conditions [2] - 7:22, 11:8 connect [6] - 5:17, 6:8, 8:2, 8:5, 26:15, 26:16 **connected** [1] - 4:3 connecting [1] - 4:5 connects [1] - 5:20 consider [1] - 10:25 continuous [1] - 11:13 continuously [1] -11:16 convenience [5] -3:24, 4:4, 6:8, 6:14, corner [6] - 3:16, 5:18, 8:4, 17:16, 25:7, 26:17 Correct [1] - 14:10 correct [2] - 17:21, 18:7 correctly [1] - 18:24 counterclaim [3] -14:19, 16:2, 16:3 counterclockwise [1] - 3:25 **COUNTY** [1] - 29:2 couple [2] - 4:18, 10:22 Court [1] - 27:14 COURT [1] - 1:23 coverage [1] - 4:8 create [1] - 11:4 created [1] - 18:14 criminal [1] - 10:18 criteria [1] - 10:24 cross [1] - 17:7 **crossing** [1] - 16:18 curb [15] - 4:18, 5:1, 5:17, 5:20, 5:24, 8:3, 9:4, 12:21, 12:22, 12:23, 12:25, 15:11, 25:9, 26:16 customers [2] - 11:4, 12:2 cut [3] - 12:19, 12:25, 15:11 cuts [4] - 12:21, 12:22, 12:23, 25:9

D

dangerous [1] - 12:3 days [1] - 13:15 decision [1] - 20:9 Deluca [5] - 11:5, 13:21, 14:2, 16:9,

16:15 **DELUCA** [8] - 16:19, 17:1, 17:9, 17:11, 17:15, 17:21, 18:7, 18:10 deny [2] - 13:12, 20:24 Department [1] - 2:5 **Design** [1] - 2:9 detail [2] - 8:8, 26:21 **detention** [1] - 7:4 detriment [1] - 11:1 detrimental [1] - 14:21 devalue [1] - 11:3 Development [2] -2:5, 2:6 development [4] - 4:7, 10:2, 11:2, 23:13 developments [1] -20:5 deviate [1] - 12:13 difference [1] - 15:18 different [2] - 17:6, 25:21 direct [1] - 12:4 directly [1] - 11:3 **Director** [1] - 2:6 discussion [4] -16:21, 24:14, 24:24, 27:5 displaying [1] - 3:13 distance [1] - 15:23 doubt [2] - 10:17, 14:1 down [2] - 18:3, 19:12 drive [5] - 3:25, 4:4, 4:22, 11:17, 25:19 Drive [1] - 23:7 drive-through [2] -3:25, 11:17 drives [1] - 4:15 driveway [2] - 15:22, 15:24 due [1] - 14:8 duly [2] - 29:5, 29:7 during [1] - 14:24 **DUTTON** [4] - 3:13, 19:24, 24:9, 24:21 **Dutton** [4] - 2:6, 8:15,

Ε

8:25, 12:9

easement [1] - 6:5 east [3] - 3:18, 4:4, 4:10 economic [1] - 23:13 effect [1] - 14:21 effectively [1] - 11:11 EIFS [4] - 7:8, 7:11, 7:13, 7:16 eight [1] - 5:1 either [1] - 25:24 **elevations** [1] - 7:6 **Elmwood** [1] - 1:16 encourage [1] - 23:11 Engineer [4] - 5:25, 6:23, 7:1, 20:1 enter [1] - 24:19 entering [1] - 18:2 entrance [1] - 17:3 **especially** [1] - 15:13 **Esq** [1] - 2:13 Estate [1] - 23:8 et [1] - 24:6 evening [2] - 9:20, 21:16 evidence [2] - 14:20, 15:2 exacerbate [1] - 11:9 excuse [2] - 14:4, 23:1 existina [4] - 8:3. 11:7, 26:15, 26:16 exists [1] - 16:10 expand [1] - 12:24 expected [1] - 11:21 expects [1] - 21:6 expert [1] - 19:1 experts [1] - 13:17 expires [1] - 29:19 **explained** [1] - 9:7 explaining [1] - 9:1 extent [1] - 5:8 extra [1] - 22:17 eye [1] - 12:17

F

facility [1] - 18:6

facing [1] - 7:7 fact [3] - 14:8, 15:10, 22:8 factories [1] - 22:10 failed [1] - 16:8 **Fairgrounds** [1] - 17:3 family [1] - 3:20 far [2] - 4:10, 22:18 fast [1] - 19:16 **feasible** [1] - 5:8 February [1] - 13:14 feet [7] - 4:16, 4:17, 4:18, 4:22, 4:25, 5:1, 25:10 fill [1] - 23:16 firm [1] - 9:22 first [1] - 29:7 five [8] - 4:16, 6:18, 7:21, 12:20, 13:15, 15:11, 17:12, 20:17 five-foot [2] - 6:18, 7:21

fixture [3] - 8:8, 24:12, 26:20 flat [1] - 7:7 flavor [1] - 25:21 following [1] - 7:22 food [3] - 11:17, 13:8, 19:16 foot [9] - 6:15, 6:18, 7:21, 12:19, 15:11, 20:11, 20:17, 20:18 forth [2] - 22:16, 26:11 forty [2] - 4:17, 13:15 forty-five [1] - 13:15 forty-three [1] - 4:17 four [1] - 4:25 front [7] - 5:9, 5:10, 7:5, 7:21, 11:24, 21:4, 25:8 fueling [3] - 4:2, 4:3, 4:20 furthest [1] - 9:5

29:13 happy [1] - 9:10 hard [1] - 12:15 harm [1] - 12:5 Harmony [1] - 23:4 Harry [3] - 2:10, 8:20, 24:25 heard [2] - 5:3, 11:19 hearing [7] - 9:15, 16:22, 24:4, 24:15, 25:1, 25:6, 29:10 height [1] - 4:8 held [3] - 1:10, 1:15, 29:10 hereby [1] - 29:6 hereunto [1] - 29:13 highway [1] - 18:15 history [2] - 12:8, 12:9 homes [2] - 3:21, 6:11 hundred [1] - 4:17

G

gas [7] - 4:21, 5:13,

11:17, 13:8, 19:18, 23:17, 25:25 gas/convenience [1] -17:25 generate [5] - 11:13, 11:21, 19:20, 22:9, 22:18 generated [1] - 18:23 generating [1] - 11:16 GERSPACHER [1] -23:6 **Gerspacher** [2] - 23:6, 23:8 GOLD [13] - 14:4, 14:6, 14:11, 15:9, 16:17, 16:20, 17:19, 17:22, 18:9, 24:7, 24:13, 26:10, 28:4 Gold [2] - 2:3, 28:3 grant [2] - 10:9, 15:5 granted [1] - 10:12 gravitational [1] -19:15 great [1] - 9:1 Greenberger [2] -2:13, 9:23 Grice [2] - 2:2, 27:20 **Group** [1] - 23:8 guess [4] - 9:8, 19:3, 23:10, 24:21 н

Hall [1] - 1:15 hand [2] - 21:25,

ı identifies [1] - 18:24 identify [1] - 16:7 impact [7] - 10:25, 11:21, 11:23, 12:5, 13:18, 20:3, 20:7 impacted [1] - 10:1 implications [1] -14:16 **IN** [1] - 29:13 in-and-out [1] - 23:17 Inc [1] - 2:9 included [1] - 6:9 includes [1] - 7:15 including [1] - 14:23 incorporate [1] - 6:21 increase [1] - 19:22 Indicating [1] - 21:20 indicating) [1] - 17:17 individuals [1] - 21:11 industrial [4] - 3:17, 15:13, 23:15, 23:23 information [1] -14:19 instance [1] - 5:13 intends [1] - 27:13 intensive [1] - 18:19 intersection [4] - 9:5, 15:24, 18:4, 22:12 **ish** [1] - 25:20 issue [6] - 5:22, 18:25, 19:5, 21:3, 22:13, 22:23 issues [10] - 9:6, 10:22, 10:23, 11:4,

11:8, 11:25, 12:18,

13:6, 15:4, 16:7

item [1] - 3:7

J **job** [1] - 9:1 **jump**[1] - 10:9 Κ keep [2] - 9:4, 20:13 kind [3] - 5:13, 13:9, 20:10 knowing [1] - 10:11 knows [1] - 16:14 L Lafayette [17] - 3:9, 3:16, 4:10, 5:12, 8:4, 9:25, 12:25, 15:25, 17:7, 17:13, 18:3, 23:19, 24:18, 25:4, 26:17, 26:19, 26:24 **LAFAYETTE** [1] - 1:6 Lake [9] - 3:16, 3:20, 4:13, 5:22, 6:12, 8:4, 17:7, 23:19, 26:17 landscape [1] - 26:20 landscaping [3] -6:10, 12:19, 20:12 lane [4] - 11:22, 18:15, 26:3, 26:4 lanes [3] - 4:11, 13:1, 13:6 law [2] - 9:22, 27:15

LAWN [1] - 26:19

learned [1] - 13:16

left [3] - 13:2, 18:4,

light [3] - 8:8, 22:21,

lighting [3] - 24:8,

limitations [1] - 12:21

limits [2] - 4:21, 4:25

line [2] - 16:11, 22:20

24:10, 26:20

lines [1] - 7:8

21:1

9:24

26:18

listen [1] - 12:10

listened [1] - 12:7

literally [2] - 18:20,

live [2] - 22:5, 23:3

LLC [3] - 2:13, 2:14,

lives [1] - 16:13

locate [1] - 19:17

located [2] - 5:9,

leave [1] - 21:6

legal [1] - 27:11

less [1] - 19:6

18:6

24:11

least [2] - 13:15, 21:12

look [1] - 7:18 looking [1] - 26:2 lower [1] - 7:10

М

ma'am [3] - 21:24,

23:1. 23:2

main [1] - 23:18

Majeed [2] - 2:13, 9:21 Makenzie [3] - 1:14, 29:4, 29:17 MAKHLOUF [12] -9:20, 14:5, 14:10, 15:3, 15:15, 16:24, 18:16, 19:13, 20:22, 21:10, 27:6, 27:9 Makhlouf [2] - 2:13, manager [1] - 11:6 map [2] - 3:17, 5:23 March [3] - 1:11, 29:12, 29:15 marked [1] - 26:19 Mart [2] - 2:14, 9:24 matter [1] - 11:15 maximum [1] - 4:21 mean [2] - 15:25, 25:6 MEDINA [3] - 1:4, 1:23, 29:2 Medina [9] - 1:12, 1:15, 1:16, 1:24, 2:5, 17:3, 22:5, 23:7, 29:14 meet [3] - 16:8, 20:13, 20:15 meets [3] - 4:6, 5:6, 14:11 Member [4] - 2:2, 2:3, 2:3, 2:4 members [2] - 16:22, 24:15 merits [1] - 10:14 mic [2] - 9:18, 21:21 might [1] - 25:11 miles [1] - 16:20 minimum [4] - 10:4, 10:6, 13:12, 20:24 Minit [2] - 2:14, 9:24 minutes [1] - 17:12 model [1] - 19:15 Monica [1] - 2:3 most [1] - 4:6 motion [4] - 26:11, 27:2, 27:4, 28:5 MR [52] - 3:13, 8:16, 8:25, 9:20, 14:4, 14:5, 14:6, 14:10, 14:11, 15:3, 15:9,

15:15, 16:17, 16:19,

16:20, 16:24, 17:1, 17:5, 17:9, 17:10, 17:11, 17:14, 17:15, 17:19, 17:21, 17:22, 18:7, 18:9, 18:10, 18:16, 19:13, 19:24, 20:22, 21:10, 21:18, 23:6, 24:7, 24:9, 24:13, 24:17, 24:21, 25:2, 25:16, 25:19, 26:10, 27:3, 27:6, 27:9, 27:23, 28:2, 28:4, 28:8 MS [14] - 19:3, 21:20, 22:4, 23:1, 23:3, 23:5, 25:14, 27:20, 27:22, 27:24, 27:25, 28:1, 28:3, 28:5

Ν

name [6] - 8:14, 8:16, 8:18, 9:18, 9:21, 21:22 Nathan [1] - 2:2 near [1] - 16:11 need [9] - 6:9, 6:19, 7:3, 12:13, 13:22, 23:2, 23:16, 24:8, 27:12 needed [1] - 24:11 negatively [1] - 25:15 next [5] - 3:7, 6:10, 17:8, 19:17, 19:19 none [2] - 13:10, 15:25 nonetheless [1] -13:24 noon [1] - 25:20 **noon-ish** [1] - 25:20 north [4] - 3:18, 3:22, 4:2, 17:8 North [3] - 1:16, 1:23, 23:4 northeast [1] - 3:15 **Notary** [3] - 1:14, 29:4, 29:18 notary [5] - 3:3, 16:23, 22:3, 24:16, 25:1 **NOTARY** [1] - 21:24 note [2] - 4:8, 5:7 **noted** [1] - 6:24 nothing [3] - 13:3, 14:1, 29:9 notice [1] - 21:1 number [8] - 5:7, 7:25, 11:20, 12:8, 12:10, 15:3, 18:22, 19:19

0

o'clock [1] - 17:4 oath [2] - 3:2, 22:3 observation [3] -21:19, 25:5, 25:11 obviously [1] - 5:10 occurs [1] - 17:19 Ockner [2] - 2:13, 9:23 **OF** [3] - 1:4, 29:1, 29:2 office [1] - 29:14 office@crmedina. com [1] - 1:25 often [1] - 19:20 OHIO [1] - 29:1 Ohio [9] - 1:15, 1:16, 1:24, 8:22, 9:24, 23:7, 29:5, 29:14, 29:18 one [12] - 4:10, 4:20, 10:22, 10:23, 12:22, 13:1, 13:2, 15:19, 17:14, 19:23 one-percent [1] -15:19 ones [1] - 7:6 open [3] - 9:15, 9:18, 21:21 operation [5] - 11:12, 12:1, 12:6, 12:13, 13:9 operational [1] - 11:14 operations [1] - 11:6 opportunity [2] -13:23, 20:25 opposed [2] - 19:22, 21:5 opposition [1] - 13:23 original [1] - 6:17 ourselves [1] - 13:22 overseeing [1] - 18:12 Owner [2] - 2:10, 2:10 owner [3] - 9:25, 10:1, 21:12 owners [2] - 8:19. 25:2

p.m [1] - 1:13 **P25-02** [1] - 1:5 packet [2] - 3:14, 24:10 park [1] - 23:23 parking [8] - 5:5, 5:6, 5:8, 6:16, 7:20, 7:21, 21:4, 25:7 part [1] - 6:7 partner [1] - 9:22 pass [3] - 14:22,

23:12, 23:21 passage [1] - 26:13 passenger [4] - 4:1, 4:12, 5:5, 6:16 passes [1] - 14:13 pattern [2] - 14:21, 14:25 Paul [4] - 2:4, 2:10, 8:20, 24:25 pedestrians [1] - 6:3 people [4] - 19:12, 19:20, 22:14, 22:15 per [2] - 8:6, 14:14 percent [2] - 15:19 permit [1] - 17:24 permitted [4] - 6:20, 7:12, 7:13, 7:16 person [1] - 16:13 persons [2] - 3:1, 29:7 photograph [1] - 26:2 pictures [5] - 13:19, 16:9, 16:25, 17:2, 17:11 place [2] - 14:22, 29:11 placed [2] - 3:2, 22:3 plan [10] - 3:10, 3:23, 5:14, 6:1, 6:17, 10:10, 24:8, 24:10, 24:22, 26:20 **PLANNING** [1] - 1:4 Planning [7] - 1:12, 2:5, 6:19, 10:9, 10:21, 13:10, 20:2 plans [2] - 6:25, 19:25 plead [1] - 10:3 Pleas [1] - 27:14 plenty [1] - 18:2 point [4] - 11:10, 17:23, 18:17, 24:23 **points** [3] - 4:9, 4:13, 7:25 Poland [1] - 8:21 pond [1] - 7:4 positive [1] - 23:22 possible [2] - 4:11, 9:5 power [1] - 19:21 predominantly [1] -7:8 prefer [3] - 6:1, 20:13, 20:17

preference [1] - 6:22

premature [1] - 10:5

presented [2] - 13:20,

presenting [1] - 12:11

President [1] - 2:9

pretty [4] - 9:7, 14:1,

present [1] - 2:12

Ρ

15:18, 15:20 previously [1] - 3:2 primary [2] - 10:22, 10:24 private [1] - 8:5 problem [1] - 25:3 Proceedings [1] -PROCEEDINGS [1] **prohibited** [1] - 26:23 project [6] - 3:23, 7:23, 8:19, 9:1, 9:10, proper [1] - 19:2 properties [2] - 11:1, 11:2 Property [2] - 2:10, 2:10 property [20] - 3:8, 3:15, 5:17, 6:2, 6:22, 9:25, 10:1, 11:3, 15:14, 16:14, 17:20, 17:24, 18:1, 18:11, 18:12, 21:12, 23:13, 23:14, 23:20, 26:23 proposed [3] - 8:2, 10:2, 26:14 prove [1] - 10:16 **provide** [1] - 6:2 provided [4] - 5:15, 6:12, 14:18, 14:20 providing [1] - 15:1 providing) [2] - 17:4, 17:13 public [5] - 6:8, 8:2, 8:5, 9:15, 24:4 Public [3] - 1:14, 29:4, 29:18 pull [2] - 25:25 push [1] - 8:17 pushed [1] - 4:10 put [5] - 5:24, 13:21, 17:24, 26:11, 27:12 **puts** [1] - 5:23 putting [2] - 10:13, 16:24

Q

qualified [2] - 20:8, 29:6 **questions** [3] - 9:9, 24:6, 26:9 **quickly** [1] - 25:6

R

railroad [1] - 26:6 raise [1] - 21:25

ramp [4] - 5:17, 5:21, 8:3, 26:16 rather [3] - 7:3, 20:15, 20:18 Real [1] - 23:8 really [1] - 23:17 reasonable [2] -10:17, 13:25 receive [1] - 7:25 recently [1] - 21:2 recessed [1] - 7:9 recessions [1] - 7:15 recommend [1] -23:11 recommending [1] -23:20 recommends [1] -7:19 record [6] - 13:21, 13:22, 16:25, 19:2, 23:2, 27:12 referring [1] - 15:10 regarding [3] - 7:24, 9:16, 21:17 regional [1] - 11:6 regular [1] - 10:19 reject [1] - 10:3 relevant [1] - 25:11 rendering [2] - 7:17, 7:18 REPORTERS [1] -1:23 represent [2] - 9:24, request [1] - 20:3 requested [2] - 5:2, 26:13 require [3] - 20:4, 20:6. 20:8 required [3] - 6:15, 20:7, 24:18 requirement [3] -6:20, 27:11, 27:14 requirements [1] - 5:7 requisite [1] - 19:1 resident [1] - 22:7 residents [1] - 20:19 respect [2] - 10:2, 12:10 respectfully [1] -10:20 response [2] - 24:2, 27:18 restaurants [1] - 19:17

restrict [1] - 4:19

rests [1] - 13:24

retention [1] - 7:4

REUST [4] - 21:20,

22:2, 22:4, 23:3

Reust [1] - 22:4

review [2] - 7:3, 20:1 reviewed [1] - 7:2 revised [1] - 5:18 revision [1] - 5:15 Rick [1] - 2:2 right-of-way [6] -4:17, 4:19, 5:1, 5:19, 5:25, 6:16 ROAD [1] - 1:6 road [5] - 11:22, 17:6, 17:8, 20:14, 23:19 Road [20] - 3:9, 3:16, 3:20, 4:10, 4:13, 5:12, 5:22, 6:12, 8:4, 8:22, 9:25, 16:19, 17:2, 17:7, 17:8, 25:4, 26:6, 26:19 roll [2] - 27:7, 27:19 roof [2] - 7:7, 7:8 **ROSE** [6] - 17:5, 17:10, 17:14, 25:16, 25:19, 27:23 Rose [3] - 2:4, 25:18, 27:22 roughly [3] - 17:3, 17:12, 17:17 **RPR** [3] - 1:14, 29:4, 29:17 rule [1] - 13:4 rules [1] - 13:15 rush [1] - 15:8 RUSSELL [3] - 19:3, 25:14, 27:25 Russell [3] - 2:3, 25:13, 27:24

S

Sabo[3] - 1:14, 29:4,

29:17 safe [1] - 13:8 safety [4] - 11:4, 12:2, 13:5, 20:18 sales [1] - 3:21 sandwich [1] - 12:15 Sarah [1] - 2:6 seal [1] - 29:14 second [3] - 6:7, 27:3, 27:5 secondly [1] - 14:15 **Section** [3] - 8:7, 8:9, 26:22 section [1] - 7:10 **Sections** [1] - 7:23 sections [3] - 4:19, 5:3, 7:9 see [6] - 5:22, 14:24, 17:16, 19:11, 22:7, 25:21 **segregate** [1] - 9:3

seller [2] - 23:9 semis [2] - 15:13, 26:25 **semitruck** [1] - 9:3 sense [2] - 12:16, 15:8 sent [1] - 19:25 **service** [1] - 19:12 set [1] - 29:13 setback [3] - 6:18, 7:21, 20:11 setbacks [1] - 4:7 setup [3] - 5:12, 6:4, 12:12 seven [2] - 4:16, 18:12 seventy [1] - 15:11 seventy-five-foot [1] -15:11 several [1] - 14:23 shall [9] - 5:9, 7:23, 8:2, 8:5, 8:8, 26:15, 26:16, 26:18, 26:21 **show** [1] - 16:9 **showing** [1] - 6:17 **shown** [4] - 3:17, 5:14, 5:16, 6:25 **shows** [1] - 5:19 side [7] - 3:20, 4:1, 4:2, 5:6, 6:11, 6:13, 6:14 sides [1] - 25:9 sidewalk [9] - 5:24, 6:7, 6:8, 6:21, 8:2, 8:5, 8:6, 20:14, 26:15 sidewalk's [1] - 6:1 **sidewalks** [1] - 5:14 sign [2] - 24:18, 25:3 significant [2] - 11:16, 12:5 similar [3] - 19:8, 19:10, 19:19 Singh [6] - 2:10, 2:10, 8:20, 24:25 single [1] - 3:20 single-family [1] -3:20 site [12] - 3:10, 3:23, 4:6, 10:10, 11:8, 11:11, 12:3, 12:4, 12:15, 12:20, 19:25, 20:5 sitting [2] - 22:20, 25:24 **situation** [1] - 16:4 sixty [1] - 4:16 sixty-five [1] - 4:16 size [1] - 20:5 Smith [5] - 16:19,

17:2, 17:7, 17:8,

26:6

sorry [2] - 8:17, 27:8 sort [3] - 10:19, 16:3, 18:23 south [3] - 3:19, 4:1, 5:6 southeast [2] - 3:18, 5:18 **southwest** [1] - 3:19 **specific** [1] - 4:20 **specified** [1] - 29:11 ss [1] - 29:1 **stacking** [1] - 9:6 staff [1] - 7:19 standard [3] - 10:17, 10:19, 10:20 standards [1] - 4:7 **STATE** [1] - 29:1 **State** [3] - 1:15, 29:5, 29:18 states [2] - 5:8, 7:12 station [5] - 5:13, 11:18, 13:8, 19:18, 25:25 stations [2] - 4:21 Stephen [3] - 2:9, 8:18, 24:25 stone [1] - 7:10 **stopped** [1] - 16:12 **stopping** [1] - 14:23 storage [1] - 7:3 store [8] - 3:24, 4:4, 6:9, 6:14, 8:6, 17:16, 17:25, 18:2 stormwater [1] - 6:24 straightforward [1] -6:5 Street [2] - 1:23, 23:4 street [7] - 6:3, 7:7, 10:1, 19:7, 19:11, 25:7, 26:3 **strip** [3] - 6:15, 6:18, 12:19 stucco [2] - 7:12, 7:14 studies [1] - 19:1 study [4] - 11:24. 18:20, 20:3, 20:7 stuff [2] - 22:10, 22:16 **submit** [3] - 11:25, 13:22, 15:6 submitted [7] - 7:20, 8:9, 13:14, 13:20, 24:8, 26:12, 26:21 substantial [6] - 10:7, 15:6, 15:9, 15:17, 15:18, 15:20 surround [1] - 23:16 surrounding [3] -10:25, 11:2, 14:17 swear [1] - 22:1 sworn [1] - 29:7

synthetic [1] - 7:13

Т

table [4] - 10:4, 13:13, 14:8, 20:24 ten [7] - 6:15, 6:18, 12:19, 17:12, 17:18, 20:11, 20:18 ten-foot [3] - 6:18, 12:19, 20:11 ten-foot-wide [1] -6:15 terms [1] - 16:1 testify [2] - 13:18, 29:8 testimony [4] - 11:19, 18:21, 19:2, 29:7 theoretically [1] -17:23 they've [1] - 6:12 thirty [4] - 4:16, 4:22, 5:1, 25:10 thirty-eight [1] - 5:1 thirty-seven [1] - 4:16 three [7] - 4:9, 4:11, 4:17, 4:23, 11:15, 13:1, 13:6 **throw** [1] - 9:8 **Thursday** [1] - 1:10 today [4] - 10:5, 16:10, 17:2, 18:25 **TOME** [8] - 23:1, 23:5, 27:20, 27:22, 27:24, 28:1, 28:3, 28:5 **Tome** [1] - 2:6 tonight [3] - 5:4, 13:4, 23:10 tons [1] - 23:15 totally [1] - 17:6 town [1] - 22:22 track [1] - 26:6 traffic [39] - 4:12, 4:14, 9:3, 9:4, 11:8, 11:13, 11:14, 11:17, 11:23, 11:25, 13:7, 13:17, 14:16, 14:21, 14:25, 16:10, 16:12, 16:14, 17:25, 18:5, 18:14, 18:19, 18:20, 18:25, 19:5, 19:6, 19:9, 19:22, 20:3, 20:4, 20:7, 22:9, 22:14, 22:17, 24:19, 24:23, 25:22, 26:5 traffic-intensive [1] -18:19 train [2] - 16:11, 16:17 transaction [1] - 23:9

Transcript [1] - 1:10

travel [1] - 22:6

Tree [1] - 23:7 trees [1] - 26:18 trips [2] - 11:20, 18:22 Troy[1] - 23:6 truck [7] - 4:2, 4:13, 6:13, 17:14, 24:18, 24:23, 25:24 trucks [4] - 23:18, 25:4, 26:24 truth [3] - 29:8, 29:9 try [1] - 9:2 trying [6] - 12:15, 16:13, 16:15, 22:15, 22:20 Trystin [1] - 23:7 turn [7] - 12:17, 13:2, 14:2, 18:4, 26:3, 26:4 turning [2] - 15:14, 26:23 twenty [1] - 4:25 twenty-four [1] - 4:25 two [11] - 4:13, 4:23, 5:3, 7:9, 11:15, 11:22, 15:19, 16:20, 17:4, 18:15, 26:18 two-lane [2] - 11:22, 18:15

U

two-percent [1] -

typical [3] - 4:6, 6:4,

15:19

12:12

under [3] - 3:2, 13:15, 22:3 underground [2] - 7:1, 7:3 undertaking [1] -10:12 up [3] - 10:23, 23:16, 26:5 users [2] - 23:22, 23:23 uses [3] - 3:17, 11:15, 19:19 utmost [1] - 12:9

V

variance [5] - 5:2, 8:1, 15:19, 15:20, 20:16 variances [4] - 10:7, 10:11, 15:6, 15:10 variation [1] - 15:17 varying [1] - 7:8 vehicle [3] - 4:1, 4:12, 5:5 version [1] - 5:18 visibility [1] - 13:5

W

wait [2] - 16:15, 18:5 waiting [2] - 22:20, 25:24 waive [2] - 6:20, 20:17 **wall** [1] - 5:9 wants [1] - 13:4 week [1] - 14:24 West [1] - 3:9 west [5] - 3:19, 6:11, 6:13, 6:14, 7:5 WHEREOF [1] - 29:13 whole [1] - 29:8 wide [1] - 6:15 wider [1] - 4:24 width [5] - 4:19, 4:22, 4:25, 7:25, 12:23 widths [1] - 4:15 **William** [1] - 11:5 willing [1] - 20:12 wish [1] - 21:11 WITNESS [1] - 29:13

Υ

yard [1] - 7:21 year [1] - 18:10 years [1] - 18:12 Youngstown [1] -8:22

Ζ

zero [1] - 11:19 zoned [1] - 23:12 zoning [2] - 3:10, 9:14 Zoning [3] - 8:1, 10:8, 26:14