

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS APPLICATION

132 North Elmwood Avenue 330-722-9038 www.medinaoh.org

Application Number P25-15

GENERAL	Date of Application 8/20/000 Property Location 960 Din FAM TARIL MEDINA Description of Project Replace existing Siglif rail fence lined with wine + Encing to Contain dogs.
CONTACT INFORMATION	Name
APPLICATION TYPE	Planning Commission Site Plan Conditional Zoning Certificate Code or Map Amendment Preliminary Plan Final Plat Conditional Sign (EMC/Shopping Ctr) TC-OV Other Historic Preservation Board Certificate of Appropriateness Conditional Sign Board of Zoning Appeals Variance Appeal
APPLICANT SIGNATURE	By signing this application, I hereby certify that: 1) The information contained in this application is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge; 2) I am authorized to make this application as the property owner of record or I have been authorized to make this application by the property owner of record; 3) I assume sole responsibility for correspondence regarding this application; and 4) I am aware that all application requirements must be submitted prior to the formal acceptance of my application. Signature Date
OFFICIAL USE	Zoning District R-1 Fee (See Fee Sheet) \$ Meeting Date 9-11-25 Check Box when Fee Paid



P25-15 Old Farm Trail Corner Lot Fence

Property Owner: Emily Burkhart Trustee

Applicant: Paul Fraley

Location: 960 Old Farm Trail

Zoning: R-1 (Low Density Residential)

Request: Fence taller than permitted in the corner side yard

LOCATION AND SURROUNDING USES

The subject site is composed of 0.4 acres located on the southeast corner of Old Farm Trail and Yorkshire Drive. Adjacent properties contain single family residences and are zoned R-1:



BACKGROUND

Per Section 1105.164, corner lots have two front yards: A front yard between the front of the home and a street right-of-way and a front yard between the side of the home and the other street right-of-way.

As the lot is a corner lot, the area between the home and Yorkshire Drive is considered a front yard. Currently, a nonconforming split rail fence with wire backing exists between the home and Yorkshire Drive approximately 8 ft. from the street right-of-way.

The applicant is proposing to replace the fence with a similar fence at $5^{1/2}$ ft. in height. As the fence is a complete replacement, it must conform to current Zoning Code regulations.



PROPOSAL

Section 1155.01(c) includes a table indicating permitted fence heights. The table states that fences in the front yard with a side street lot line cannot exceed 3 ft. in height. In addition, Exception #4 in the table states:

Fences set back fifteen (15) feet or more from the side street lot line may be six (6) feet in height. Fences set back less than fifteen (15) feet from the side street lot line may be up to six (6) feet in height with approval from the Planning Commission if the Commission finds the fence does not obstruct pedestrian or vehicular visibility and is compatible with the surrounding area.

The applicant is requesting that the Commission allow a replacement $5^{1/2}$ ft. tall split rail fence with wire backing within 15 ft. of the side street lot line.

FACTORS APPLICABLE TO AREA OR SIZE-TYPE VARIANCES ("PRACTICAL DIFFICULTY")

The applicant shall show by a preponderance of the evidence that the variance is justified, as determined by the Board. The Board shall weigh the following factors to determine whether a practical difficulty exists and an area or size-type variance should be granted:

beneficial use of the property without the variance;
beneficial use of the property without the variance,
The over 15 currently tenned to allow dogs without
tie out, Height of 5-5/1 teet repossary the larger
aug. Aporing the tenes bagi to 1) test
D. Mille other wasterness in authorization
B. Whether the variance is substantial;
The current set-back 150, Moving to 19 feet way
rouse the lose of usable word.
C. Whether the essential character of the neighborhood would be substantially altered or whether
adjoining properties would suffer substantial detriment as a result of the variance,
Currently 3 of the Apripenties have similar tenas
en this black Shunt
of The Block Street
D. Whether the variance would adversely affect the delivery of governmental services (e.g., water,
sewer, garbage);
No. No got secres in the fence area offse, there
are 2 gates
E. Whether the property owner purchased the property with knowledge of the zoning restrictions;
Durchased in 1989, current fence is approximately
to years old, Initial Installer (Meding Fence) did
not discuss restrictions, simply installed the Lence
F. Whether the property owner's predicament feasibly can be obviated through some method other
than a variance; and/or
But unthant the lose 64 several hundred 5F
of useofle hask word.
- Weng p nouse y gran
~
G. Whether the spirit and intent behind the zoning requirement would be observed and substantial
justice done by granting a variance.
The onea involved is essentially a beat yard.
It is not Lynrionally frontage.











